

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,869	869 10/20/2000		William T. McHugh	08935-218001 / M-4926	6097	
26161	7590 11/24/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST				MARTIN, A	MARTIN, ANGELA J	
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1745		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/692,869	MCHUGH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angela J. Martin	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communicate	tion appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE STION. CCFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, material attion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) he by statute, cause the application to become mailing date of this communication, even a statute of the mailing date of the communication, even a statute of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) he mailing date of this communication, even a statute of the period of the period will apply and will expire statute. This action is non-final. The allowance except for formal mander Ex parte Quayle, 1935 of the pending in the application. Ithdrawn from consideration. Owed.	B MONTH(S) FROM y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. B ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In if timely filed, may reduce any atters, prosecution as to the merits is
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet in the county of the county	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyonerrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/10/04.	B) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20041110

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on September 17, 2004. The Applicant has pointed out that the Hull reference (U.S. Pat. No. 6,265,104), and the claimed invention, at the time the claimed invention was made, were both owned by the same company (Gillette Co.). Therefore, the 35 USC 103 Rejection of claims 1-4, 7-13, 15, 18, and 21-44 is withdrawn. However, a new rejection is presented for the following reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 30, 36, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to

Application/Control Number: 09/692,869

Art Unit: 1745

whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 30, 36, and 43 recites the broad recitation polygonal cross section, and the claim also recites triangular cross section, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 27-29, 31-35, 37-42, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelemen, U.S. Pat. No. 6,428,472 B1

Rejection of claims 27-29, 31-35, 37-42, 44 drawn to a battery system.

Kelemen teach a battery system comprising a casing to be used with an electronic device (col. 1, lines 9-12) and a battery comprising a can having a polygonal cross section (col. 2, lines 59-65), the can having a closed end and an open end (col. 3, lines 8-11), a cathode in the can, the cathode defining a cavity (col. 3, lines 18-25), an

Application/Control Number: 09/692,869

Art Unit: 1745

anode in the cavity (col. 3, lines 26-30), and a separator between the cathode and anode (col. 3, lines 30-34). It teaches the can has a rectangular cross section (col. 3, lines 11-14); it teaches the can has a square cross section (col. 3, lines 3-7) (a square is a rectangle with all four sides equal). Additionally, it teaches the wall having an air access opening (col. 5, lines 1-7); it teaches the can is electrically conductive (col. 6, lines 25-26).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 8, 9, 15, 18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 3, 17, 19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,265,104 B1 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming

Art Unit: 1745

common subject matter, as follows: A battery comprising a seal of hot melt material and the method of making the battery.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-5, 7, 10-13, 21-26 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The Applicant claims a battery comprising a triangular cross section, the can having a closed end and an open end, and a seal assembly attached to the open end of the can, wherein the seal assembly comprises a seal and a current collector attached to the seal.

The prior art of record, taken either alone or in combination, does not disclose the above battery comprising a triangular cross section.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AJM C. J. M. L.